

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY 600 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001-2651 AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION	2. EFFECTIVE D	ATE			
Amendment 01	(Same as block 17)				
3. ISSUED BY PURCHASING SECTION	4. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than block 3)				
Office of Procurement and Materials Wondem Asres					
5. CONTRACTOR NAME AND ADDRESS		6. FORM TYPE (Check only one)			
NAME AND ADDRESS		ENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. CO17127 Passenger Movement Analysis Data Services DATE 04/28/2017			
(Street, city, county, state, and Zip Code)		MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO(See block 9)			
7 THIS BLOCK AF	PPI IES ONI V T	O AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If, made by telegram or letter, provided such telegration and date specified. 8. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIAT	am makes reference	ndment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening equired)			
data, etc.) set forth in block 10.	ant to are made to the abover is modified to reflee	re numbered contract/order. ct the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation to authority of			
10. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/N		500K 70.			
Except as provided herein, all terms and con	on per appendix to COB June 6, d from vendors a ditions of the document refe	2017. nd WMATA's response per Appendix B erenced in block 6, as herelofore changed, remain unchanged and in full force and effect.			
11. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS REQUIR MODIFICATION AND RETURN 1 ISSUING OFFICE.		CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS NOT REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT			
12. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFICE		15. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY			
BY(Signature of person authorized to s	gn)	(Signature of Contracting Officer)			
13. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	14. DATE SIGNED	16. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) 17. DATE SIGNED Tonia C. Nixon			

Appendix A

- 1. The contract number CQ10-112ETD shown at the top of page 4 of the RFP should be replaced with the correct number CQ17127.
- 2. The proposal due date is extended to COB June 6, 2017.
- 3. The Performance Schedule included under section 7 of the scope of work of the RFP is replaced with the revised Performance Schedule below.

REVISED PERFORMANCE CHEDULE

Percentage of Total Procurement		Deliverables or Milestones	Estimated Due Date	Percentage by Milestone
1. Project Management	5%	Project Management Plan Bi-weekly progress reports	Onneine	50/
2. Conduct Kick-Off Meeting	3%	Meeting documentation Agenda, materials, summary	Ongoing July 31, 2017	5% 8%
3. Develop rail-related algorithms and data processing	20%	Sample rail-related data output Documentation of rail-related algorithms	September 29, 2017	28%
4. Rail data output validation	5%	Report on rail data validation results	September 29, 2017	33%
5. Develop bus-related algorithms and data processing	20%	Sample bus-related data output Documentation of bus-related algorithms	October 31, 2017	53%
6. Bus data output validation	5%	Report on bus data validation results	October 31, 2017	58%
7. Revise and enhance algorithms based on WMATA feedback	10%	New sample data	December 29, 2017	68%
8. Conduct 6-month review meeting	2%	Agenda, materials, summary	January 15, 2018	70%
9. Process historical data	10%	Processed data tables of historical data	January 31, 2018	80%
10. Configure nightly processing	10%	Output files returned daily	February 28, 2018	90%
11. Perform additional enhancements and modifications as determined in 6-month review meeting	10%		January - June 2018	100%
	100%			100%

Appendix B Questions Received from Vendors and WMATA's Response

The RFP is not clear whether WMATA is expecting vendors to create "an inference algorithm", or "inference
algorithms"; both are stated at various points throughout. Is the expectation that the vendor creates one
inference algorithm, or many? If the latter, how many algorithms is WMATA envisioning? We do not foresee
how the information WMATA seeks could be done with one inference algorithm.

Answer: WMATA is agnostic to the number of algorithm(s), so long as the vendor can process the data as described in the Technical Specifications of the RFP.

2. Can WMATA provide the labor mix / candidate profiles that they are expecting for this RFP?

Answer: WMATA is seeking the services of any vendor who can successfully process the data as described in the Technical Specifications of the RFP, and we will evaluate proposals according to the Evaluation Criteria defined on pages 12-13, section 14.a of the RFP.

3. Please confirm that this is a firm fixed-price bid?

Answer: As indicated on Page 7, Section 1.d of the RFP this is a Firm Fixed Price solicitation.

4. We are interested in potentially responding to the RFP on Passenger Movement Analysis (CQ17127) as a subcontractor. Is there a public list of vendors who have expressed interest in submitting a proposal that has been shared?

Answer: WMATA does not have a public list of vendors who have expressed interest in submitting a proposal.

5. Can you please confirm that the selected bidder would not be precluded from bidding or being selected for award for future WMATA fare collection systems or related procurements on a determination of an organizational conflict of interest (OCI) resulting from its performance of the instant contract?

Answer: The vendor that will be awarded a contract will not automatically be precluded from participating in future solicitation. Organizational conflict of interest will be determined case by case depending on the role the vendor played on the new solicitation and/or the connection of the vendor with the new solicitation.

6. Section 6.1 of the technical specs suggests all AVL data will include information from the APC systems. In the provided sample AVL data I was able to identify fields for passenger load, route, pattern, and stop sequence. I believe boardings and alightings will be captured under the stop back/front entry/exit fields. Can you confirm if that is the case, or if I misunderstood that section?

Answer: Correct, for Metrobus, APC data is included with AVL data, and the two systems are integrated. You have correctly interpreted the sample data.